CIVIL PENALTY POLICY

Community Connections (Housing Improvement) Version 2 – 12.03.2020



Civil Penalty Policy

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2016/22/schedule/9/enacted

https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/34/section/249A

(HAPA 2016 S.126 Sch9 / HA 2004 S.249A Sch13A)

Maximum Penalty: £30,000

Guidance Document:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/606653/Civil_Penalties_guidance.pdf

Community Connections (Housing Improvement) - Civil Penalty Policy

Policy document setting out how Plymouth City Council will deliver section 249A of The Housing Act 2004 (as implemented by section 126 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016) in order to issue civil penalties as alternative to prosecution

Section 126 and schedule 9 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 came into force on the 6th April 2017.

These provisions give the local housing authority the power to issue a financial penalty for certain Housing Act 2004 offences as an alternative to prosecution.

The civil penalties option can be used for the following Housing Act 2004 offences:

- S.30 Failure to comply with Improvement Notice
- S.72 Offences in relation to Licensing of HMOs
- S.95 Offences in relation to Licensing of houses under Part 3 HA2004
- S.139(7) Offences in contravention of an overcrowding notice
- S.234 Failure to comply with Management Regulations in respect of HMOs

The council is required to have a policy in place that details when to prosecute and when to consider a civil penalty.

The council must also provide guidance on how the fine levels will be set.

The guidance document issued by DCLG provides details on the considerations that must be taken into account as part of the fine setting process. It places particular emphasis upon the severity of the offence and the landlord's previous record of offending. A scoring mechanism has been devised to reflect the considerations set out in the DCLG guidance. This scoring mechanism is set out below.

When to prosecute and when to consider a civil penalty

The same criminal standard of proof is required for a civil penalty as for prosecution.

Plymouth City Council will firstly satisfy itself that if the case were to be prosecuted in the magistrates' court, there would be a realistic prospect of conviction.

In order to do so Plymouth City Council will consider its own enforcement policy, consult the Crown Prosecution Service Code for Crown Prosecutors', and work closely with our legal department.

Once satisfied that there would be a realistic prospect of conviction a decision will be taken as to whether to prosecute or to issue a civil penalty. All decisions will be taken on a case-by-case basis.

The guidance document issued by DCLG suggests that prosecution may be the most appropriate option where an offence is particularly serious or where the offender has committed similar offences in the past.

It is likely that a civil penalty will be considered as the most appropriate course of action except in the aforementioned circumstances where further consideration will be taken.

A Civil Penalty notice, or notice of intent, will only be served once reviewed and authorised by a Technical Lead (Housing Improvement), Community Connections.

Income from civil penalties

The income received will be applied in accordance with any legislation or guidance published by the government. Currently this is in accordance with

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/367/regulation/2/made

Application of financial penalties recovered

- **4.**—(1) A local housing authority may apply any financial penalty recovered under section 249A of the 2004 Act(1) to meet the costs and expenses (whether administrative or legal) incurred in, or associated with, carrying out any of its enforcement functions in relation to the private rented sector.
- (2) Any amount recovered as a financial penalty which is not applied in accordance with paragraph (1) must be paid into the Consolidated Fund.

Enforcement

"enforcement function" means, in relation to a local housing authority(2)—

- (a) any of its functions—
- arry or its runctions—
- (i) under Parts 1 to 4 of the 2004 Act; or
- (ii) under Part 2 of the 2016 Act; or
- (b) where paragraph (a) does not apply, any of its functions, conferred on it as local housing authority—
- (i) connected with an investigation of, or proceedings relating to, a contravention of the law relating to housing or landlord and tenant; or
- (ii) connected with the promotion of compliance with the law relating to housing or landlord and tenant

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 2 of 15

Levels of fine to be set

The guidance document issued by DCLG provides the following considerations when determining the level of a civil penalty;

a) **Severity of the offence -** The more serious the offence, the higher the penalty should be. In order to measure the severity of the offence the following criteria will be used;

S.30 Improvement Notice	Nature & Type of Hazards		
Failing to comply	Category I hazard present – 5 points		
	Category 2 hazard present – 2 points		
	Number of Hazards		
	Each hazard identified is scored to give scale to multiple hazards		
	being more severe		
S.72 Licensing of HMOs	Number of Occupants		
Failing to licence	The greater occupancy, the greater responsibility/duty of care for		
Over Occupation	the Licence Holder / HMO Manager		
Breaches of Conditions	5 to 9 Occupants – 5 points		
	10 + Occupants – 10 points		
S.95 Selective LHMOs	Number of Occupants		
Failing to licence	The greater occupancy, the greater responsibility/duty of care for		
Over Occupation	the Licence Holder / HMO Manager		
Breaches of Conditions	5 to 9 Occupants – 5 points		
bi eaches of Conditions	10 + Occupants – 10 points		
	To T Occupants – To points		
S.139(7) Overcrowding Notice	Nature & Extent of Occupancy Breach		
Over Occupation	Is the occupancy breach 'intentional' (i.e. by landlord actions) or		
,	'unintentional' (i.e. by tenant actions). The % of over occupancy is		
	taken into account in terms of the severity of the offence. Please		
	see appendix IA.		
S.234 Management Regulations	Location of Breach		
Breach of regulations	(communal parts/unit of accommodation)		
	Breach in communal parts – 5 points		
	Breach within a unit of accommodation – 2 points (per unit of accommodation)		
	4000		

Improvement Notices only:

Category I Hazards – Serious - A serious and substantial risk to the health and safety of the occupiers, and/or community, with potentially life threatening results. Housing defects posing such a risk may be associated with hazards assessed under the Housing Health & Safety Rating System.

For each Category I Hazard a score of 5 will be added.

Category 2 Hazards – Less Serious – A risk of injury or disease to the occupiers potentially resulting in medical treatment. Housing defects posing such a risk may be associated with hazards assessed under the Housing Health & Safety Rating System.

For each Category 2 Hazard a score of 2 will be added.

Where offence is within a House of Multiple Occupancy (HMO) – A one-off premium of 10 points will be added where any hazard or issue would affect more than I household i.e. whole building issues or common parts issues in HMO's. This is to ensure that the scope of the hazard or issue is considered in addition to its ability to harm.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 3 of 15

b) Culpability and track record of the offender - A higher penalty will be appropriate where the offender has a history of failing to comply with their obligations and/or their actions were deliberate and/or they knew, or ought to have known, that they were in breach of their legal responsibilities. Landlords are running a business and should be expected to be aware of their legal obligations.

In order to assess the culpability of the perpetrator the following factors will be considered;

1 / 1 1 6 6			
Portfolio of Properties	How many properties does the offender have control of as Landlord / Licence Holder / HMO Manager		
Experience	How many years' experience does the offender have in letting properties? The longer, the more culpable.		
Accreditation	Has the offender undertaken the landlord's accreditation scheme If yes, he/she is informed.		
Affiliation	Is the offender a member of a Landlord's Association? If yes — they have had access to guidance and support.		
Rogue Landlord Database	Is the offender listed on the Rogue Landlord Database? If yes, then PCC may contact other LA/s for more info and update with new details.		
LA Guidance / Contact pre-offence	Has the offender received previous advice, guidance or notification from the LA in respect of this offence?		

In order to measure the culpability of the perpetrator the following criteria will be used;

Culpability

<u>Deliberate</u>— An intentional breach by a landlord or property agent or flagrant disregard for the law for example by failing to comply with a notice or regulations. For Deliberate acts a score of 20 will be added

<u>Reckless</u>— An actual foresight of, or wilful blindness to the risk of offending but decides to take the risk nevertheless for example failing to comply with a strict liability in the HMO regulations. For Reckless acts a score of 15 will be added

<u>Negligent</u>— The failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding the offence, for example partial compliance with a schedule of work to an enforcement notice but failure to fully comply with all schedule items.

<u>For Negligent acts a score of 10 will be added</u>

<u>Low or no culpability</u>— The offence committed has some fault on the part of the landlord or property agent but there are other circumstances for example obstruction by the tenant to allow a contractor access for repairs, or damage caused by tenant negligence. For Low culpability acts a score of 5 will be added

A premium will be added where the requirement to licence a property under Parts 2 or 3 of the Housing Act 2004 has not been complied with.

Where a person in control, landlord or person managing fails to obtain a licence without direct contact by Plymouth City Council requiring them to do so, a score of 5 will be added.

Where a person in control, landlord or person managing fails to obtain a licence despite direct contact by Plymouth City Council requiring them to do so, a score of 15 will be added.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 4 of 15

Track record

In order to assess the culpability of the perpetrator the following factors will be considered;

Penalised or Convicted of the Same	A repeat of the same offence highlights a much greater culpability		
Offence Previously	as the offender is aware of their obligations.		
Penalised or Convicted of Other	A history of other offences can highlight an increased culpability		
Offences Previously	as the offender is aware that they have obligations.		

In order to measure the culpability of the perpetrator the following criteria will be used;

<u>Ist offence</u> – no previous conviction or civil penalty imposition for offences in relation to this policy in the previous four years irrespective of the locality to which the offence relates. For Ist offences a score of 5 will be added

2nd subsequent offence by same person/company – any conviction or civil penalty imposition for offences in relation to this policy, within four years of the Ist offence, irrespective of the locality to which the initial offence relates.

For 2nd offences a score of 15 will be added

<u>Ongoing non-compliance</u> - any conviction or civil penalty for offences in relation to this, within four years of the previous instance (at least 3rd occurrence), irrespective of the locality to which the initial offence relates.

For ongoing offences a score of 30 will be added

c) The harm caused to the tenant – This is a very important factor when determining the level of penalty. The greater the harm or the potential for harm (this may be as perceived by the tenant), the higher the amount should be when imposing a civil penalty.

The Authority will consider the following factors in its decision-making process:

Harm Outcome	Acknowledges both Physical and Mental harm caused or in some		
(Actual Harm has occurred)	cases perceived by the tenant. Where applicable HHSRS		
= 10 Points	assessment outcomes should be taken into consideration.		
Vulnerable to Harm Outcome (Vulnerability identified but no Actual Harm has occurred) = 5 Points	Highlights an awareness of the vulnerable age group per hazard and further consideration given to an individual's propensity to harm on a case by case basis, including possible vulnerabilities. Where applicable HHSRS assessment outcomes should be taken into consideration.		
Potential for Harm Outcome (No Vulnerability identified or Actual Harm has occurred, however, there is a potential for harm) = 2 Points	Acknowledges that potential hazard/s may be present in the property and that for the occupants there is the potential for harm. This is irrespective of vulnerabilities.		

The severity of harm calculation needs to reflect the types of issues encountered, however a premium score will be added for actual harm having occurred and the vulnerability of the tenant as set out in the Housing Health and Safety Rating System and in the table below;

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 5 of 15

Hazard	Vulnerable age group (age of occupant)	
Damp and mould growth	14 and under	
Excess Cold	65 or over	
Excess Heat	65 or over	
Carbon Monoxide	65 or over	
Lead	under 3 years	
Personal Hygiene, Sanitation and Drainage	under 5 years	
Falls associated with baths etc.	60 or over	
Falling on level surfaces etc.	60 or over	
Falling on stairs etc.	60 or over	
Falling between levels	under 5 years	
Electrical hazards	under 5 years	
Fire	60 or over	
Flames, hot surfaces etc.	under 5 years	
Collision and entrapment	under 5 years	
Collision and entrapment - low headroom	l6 or over	
Position and operability of amenities etc.	60 or over	

Harm Occurred: A score of 10 will be added where the occupier/s have suffered harm due to the defects/hazards noted.

Harm Vulnerability: A score of 5 will be added where the occupiers have not suffered harm but are in the vulnerable age group to the hazard noted.

Harm Potential: A score of 2 will be added where the vulnerable age group are not present.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 6 of 15

d) Stage I - Indicative Penalty Charge

Scoring Chart

The scoring chart below is a reference index for the policy, as set out in the text above. Each offence is attributed points scoring based on the factors of; 'severity', are hazards exposed to 'multiple households', what is the level of 'culpability' for the perpetrator, is the property a 'licensable HMO', what is the perpetrators 'track record', what level of 'harm' is present, and what level of 'vulnerability' is present. Once the overall score has been calculated, this table is referred to for an 'Indicative Penalty Charge'. The officer will then give further consideration to the factors of; 'suitability of punishment', is it a 'deterrent to the offender' (and/or others) and does it suitably 'remove any financial benefit' received from the offence.

Score	Indicative Penalty Charge			
14-20	£2,000			
21-30	£3,000			
31-40	£5,000			
41-50	£7,500			
51-60	£10,000			
61-70	£15,000			
71-80	£20,000			
81-90	£25,000			
91-100+	£30,000			

e) Remove any financial benefit the offender may have obtained as a result of committing the offence – The guiding principle here should be to ensure that the offender does not benefit as a result of committing an offence, i.e. it should not be cheaper to offend than to ensure a property is well maintained and properly managed.

Following the initial scoring calculation, an estimation of offender costs to resolve the hazard or issue identified will be produced.

In addition an estimation of any financial benefit, which may include rents received, arising from the offence(s) will be produced. Where rents received are considered, this will be over a maximum 12 month period.

Consideration will then be given to the initial scoring calculation as to whether or not this element has been suitably met. If there is a decision to increase the fine level the reasoning behind the decision will be clearly set out in the Notice of Intent and any Final Notice.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 7 of 15

f) Multiple offenders

Following Stage I considerations (a-e above) an indicative fine level will be calculated, by applying the points total to the scoring chart matrix. Where there are multiple offenders, in relation to the same offence, the most severe outcome will be calculated in the first instance. Then each offender's culpability score will be divided by the accumulative total of culpability scores to work out a percentage of penalty charge (see example scenario 3), before Stage 2 considerations (g-j below) are made. Where there are multiple offenders, separate notices will be served.

g) Punishment of the offender – A civil penalty should not be regarded as an easy or lesser option compared to prosecution. While the penalty should be proportionate and reflect both the severity of the offence and whether there is a pattern of previous offending, it is important that it is set at a high enough level to help ensure that it has a real economic impact on the offender and demonstrates the consequences of not complying with their responsibilities.

The Authority will consider the following factors in its decision-making process:

Severity of the Offence	The punishment should reflect the severity of the offence as previously determined.	
Economic Impact	The punishment should have a sufficient economic impact on the offender.	
	To help determine this consideration may be given to; Credit Checks,	
	Companies House, Portfolio Income & Equity.	

Risks	Could the offender continue to operate?			
	Is there a risk of homelessness for the tenants?			

Following the stage I scoring calculation, consideration will be given as to whether or not this element has been suitably met. This will be considered separately for each offender. If there is a decision to increase the fine level the reasoning behind the decision will be clearly set out in the Notice of Intent and any Final Notice.

h) Deter the offender from repeating the offence – The ultimate goal is to prevent any further offending and help ensure that the landlord fully complies with all of their legal responsibilities in future. The level of the penalty should therefore be set at a high enough level such that it is likely to deter the offender from repeating the offence.

The Authority will consider the following factors in its decision-making process:

Individual Deterrent	This is a review stage of the above considerations to	
	determine if the level of penalty is appropriate to deter	
	further occurrences?	

Following the stage I scoring calculation, consideration will be given as to whether or not this element has been suitably met. This will be considered separately for each offender. If there is a decision to increase the fine level the reasoning behind the decision will be clearly set out in the Notice of Intent and any Final Notice.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 8 of 15

i) Deter others from committing similar offences – While the fact that someone has received a civil penalty will not be in the public domain, it is possible that other landlords in the local area will become aware through informal channels when someone has received a civil penalty. An important part of deterrence is the realisation that (a) the local housing authority is proactive in levying civil penalties where the need to do so exists and (b) that the level of civil penalty will be set at a high enough level to both punish the offender and deter repeat offending.

The Authority will consider the following factors in its decision-making process:

Wider Deterrent	This is a review stage of the above considerations to determine if		
	the level of penalty is appropriate to deter others, in a similar set		
	of circumstances, from committing offences?		

Following the stage I scoring calculation, consideration will be given as to whether or not this element has been suitably met. This will be considered separately for each offender. If there is a decision to increase the fine level the reasoning behind the decision will be clearly set out in the Notice of Intent and any Final Notice.

j) Reductions

Level of compliance by perpetrator, their attitude in doing so, and early payment -

Where the decision has been taken that a prosecution is appropriate, or subsequently a civil penalty notice should be issued, it is unlikely that the perpetrator could be deemed as compliant. However if there is a clear behavioural change and a will to ensure future compliance, followed by a payment within the prescribed 28 days a reduction of 10% may be attributed to the total.

Financial hardship - Local housing authorities should make an assessment of a landlord's assets and any income (not just rental income) they receive when determining an appropriate penalty. The perpetrator will have the opportunity to make representations following the service of the Notice of Intent and may decide to set out any financial hardship in those representations. It will be for the perpetrator to provide sufficient documented evidence of income when relying upon such representations. The Council reserves the right to request further information to support any financial claim, and where this is incomplete, appears to be inaccurate or is not sufficiently evidenced may determine that the representation should not be considered. It is possible that financial hardship could be a factor when a perpetrator makes representations, particularly for lesser portfolio landlords, but this is not an easily predictable measure and needs to be judged on a case by case basis.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 9 of 15

Example Scenarios

Scenario I - Failure to comply with an Improvement Notice

This person has failed to comply with an improvement notice containing 4 hazards; Excess Cold, Damp and Mould, Falls on Stairs and Fire Safety ($2 \times Cat\ I$ hazards and $2 \times Cat\ 2$ hazards). They were also previously prosecuted for failing to comply with an improvement notice 3 years ago at a different address. The occupant is a 67 year old lady who has recently been in hospital with a broken hip suffered from a fall within the home.

Scoring - Scenario I

<u>Factor</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Item</u> <u>Total</u>	Running Total
Severity	I x Cat I Hazard (EC) I x Cat I Hazard (FOS)	5 points 5 points	14 Points
	I x Cat 2 Hazard (D&M) I x Cat 2 Hazard (FS)	2 Points 2 Points	
Multiple Households (Improvement Notices only)	N/A – Scenario I is a Single Family Dwelling	Nil	14 Points
Culpability	Deliberate – as perpetrator failed to comply with the notice	20 points	34 Points
Licensable HMO	N/A – Scenario 1 is a Single Family Dwelling	Nil	34 Points
Track Record	2 nd offence in 4 years	15 points	49 Points
Harm & Vulnerability	Broken Hip (relative to the hazards of excess cold / falls on stairs)	10 points	59 Points
Scenario I - Total Points Accumulation			59 Points
Scenario I - Level Of Associated Penalty Charge (for further consideration and deliberation)			£10,000

Following stage I calculations, consideration would be given to the financial benefit the perpetrator had by undertaking the offence. This would include, but may not be limited to:

1) The costs of works required, £8,000 (GCH - £4000, Banisters/balustrades - £1500, Damp proofing & repair works - £2000, Servicing and repair of faults to Fire System - £500).

Therefore the financial benefit would then be assessed as £8,000.

In this instance, the officer would see that the indicative penalty charge of £10,000 is sufficient to remove the financial benefit of committing the offence and would therefore retain the penalty as £10,000. In this instance the officer will justify the charge by detailing reasons in an Enforcement Action Proposal for authorising.

In some instances the penalty may be varied further in accordance with this policy and can be issued for up to a maximum amount of £30,000.

A Civil Penalty notice, or notice of intent, will only be served once reviewed and authorised by a Technical Lead (Housing Improvement), Community Connections.

In addition to the above, Plymouth City Council could give consideration to further enforcement action available through the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 10 of 15

Scenario 2 - Failure to comply with an Improvement Notice in a HMO

This person has failed to comply with an improvement notice containing 4 hazards; Excess Cold, Damp and Mould, Falls on Stairs and Fire Safety (2 x Cat 1 hazards and 2 x Cat 2 hazards). It is a licensed HMO with 8 people. They were also previously prosecuted for failing to comply with an improvement notice 3 years ago at a different address. The occupants include a 67 year old lady who has recently been in hospital with a broken hip suffered from a fall within the home.

Scoring - Scenario 2

<u>Factor</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Item</u> <u>Total</u>	Running Total
Severity	I x Cat I Hazard (EC) I x Cat I Hazard (FOS) I x Cat 2 Hazard (D&M) I x Cat 2 Hazard (FS)	5 points 5 points 2 Points 2 Points	14 Points
Multiple Households (Improvement Notices only)	Property is a HMO with 8 persons	10 Points	24 Points
Culpability	Deliberate – as perpetrator failed to comply with the notice	20 points	44 Points
Licensable HMO	Licensed	Nil	44 Points
Track Record	2 nd offence in 4 years	15 points	59 Points
Harm & Vulnerability	Broken Hip (relative to the hazards of excess cold / falls on stairs)	10 points	69 Points
Scenario 2 - Total Points Accumulation			69 Points
Scenario 2 - Level Of Associated Penalty Charge (for further consideration and deliberation)			£15,000

Following stage I calculations, consideration would be given to the financial benefit the perpetrator had by undertaking the offence. This would include, but may not be limited to:

1) The costs of works required, £8,000 (GCH - £4000, Banisters/balustrades - £1500, Damp proofing & repair works - £2000, Servicing and repair of faults to Fire System - £500).

Therefore the financial benefit would then be assessed as £8,000.

In this instance, the officer would see that the indicative penalty charge of £15,000 is sufficient to remove the financial benefit of committing the offence and would therefore retain the penalty as £15,000. In this instance the officer will justify the charge by detailing reasons in an Enforcement Action Proposal for authorising.

In some instances the penalty may be varied further in accordance with this policy and can be issued for up to a maximum amount of £30,000.

A Civil Penalty notice, or notice of intent, will only be served once reviewed and authorised by a Technical Lead (Housing Improvement), Community Connections.

In addition to the above, Plymouth City Council could give consideration to further enforcement action available through the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 11 of 15

Scenario 3 - Failure to licence a Licensable HMO - No other offences

This couple have failed to licence a licensable HMO, they are joint owners of the property and also joint directors of the company associated with letting the property. Direct communication was exchanged with the company and one of the couple (Mr X), following a visit, advising that a licence was necessary. The property needs some attention however, no notices have been served and it is not considered that the HMO management regulations have been breached. There is no history of non-compliance in the past.

Scoring - Scenario 3

Factor	<u>Description</u>	<u>Item</u> <u>Total</u>	Running Total
Severity	Failure to licence a licensable HMO for 6 persons.		5 Points
Multiple Households (Improvement Notices only)	N/A – No Improvement Notice served Nil		5 Points
Culpability	Reckless – PCC advised offender that a HMO licence was required and what offence would occur by not applying.	15 points	20 Points
Licensable HMO	Licensable HMO for 6 persons in 6 households. A licence has not been applied for, despite direct contact on this matter.	35 Points	
Track Record	I st Offence	I st Offence 5 Points	
Harm & Vulnerability	Potential for harm. All homes are potentially hazardous, but there was no record of actual harm.	2 Points	42 Points
Scenari	42 Points		
Scenario 3 - (for fur	£7,500		

Following stage I calculations, consideration would be given to the financial benefit the perpetrator had by undertaking the offence. This would include, but may not be limited to:

- 2) The cost of the avoided HMO licence fee (if not subsequently applied)
- 3) The rents received for the 5th or more person in residence (during the period of the offence being committed) over a maximum 12 month period.

Assuming that the current HMO licence fee was £900, and each of the tenants paid £92 per week for their accommodation, which was occupied for 44 weeks of the past year. The financial benefit would then be assessed as £8.996.

In this instance, the officer would see that the indicative penalty charge of £7,500 is not sufficient to remove the financial benefit of committing the offence and would therefore look to increase the penalty to £8,996. In this instance the officer will justify the charge by detailing reasons in an Enforcement Action Proposal for authorising.

Direct contact had been made with landlord (Mr X) but not with (Mrs X) therefore, in terms of fairness and proportionality, the penalty charge should reflect this. The rents are received by the company and both parties are equal percentage directors. Therefore the financial benefit is considered to be equally received. Mr X is considered to be 'Reckless' because of the direct contact (carries a penalty of 15 points) but Mrs X is considered to be 'Negligent' (carries a penalty of 10 points). Where there are multiple offenders, each offender's culpability score will be divided by the accumulative total of culpability scores to work out a percentage of penalty charge. In this instance Mr X is 15/25 (60%) £5397.60 and Mrs X is 10/25 (40%) £3,598.40

In some instances the penalty may be varied further in accordance with this policy and can be issued for up to a maximum amount of £30,000.

A Civil Penalty notice, or notice of intent, will only be served once reviewed and authorised by a Technical Lead (Housing Improvement), Community Connections

In addition to the above, Plymouth City Council could give consideration to further enforcement action available through the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 12 of 15

Scenario 4 - Offences in contravention to an Overcrowding Notice

This person lets out a flat that is suitable for a maximum occupation of 2 persons. He was written to following an initial visit where the occupancy level was identified as 4 persons in total. Tenancies were obtained for the property which evidenced that the landlord had intentionally let to 4 persons. The landlord was issued with an overcrowding notice and advised that he would need to take lawful steps to reduce the occupancy. The property needs some attention; however, there is no improvement notice served or management regulation breaches identified. There is a history of non-compliance in the past for overcrowding in connection with another property.

Scoring - Scenario 4

<u>Factor</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Item</u> Total	Running Total
Severity	Intentional overcrowding (i.e. not as a result of tenants actions) – 200% Occ (refer to Appendix 1)	10 points	10 Points
Multiple Households (Improvement Notices only)	N/A – no improvement notice served Nil		10 Points
Culpability	Deliberate – PCC served OC notice but no actions have been taken to comply.	20 points	30 Points
Licensable HMO	N/A the property is not a licensable Nil HMO		30 Points
Track Record	2 nd Offence	15 Points	45 Points
Harm & Vulnerability	Potential for harm. All homes are potentially hazardous, but there was no record of actual harm.	2 Points	47 Points
Scenari	47 Points		
Scenario 4 - (for fur	£7,500		

Following stage I calculations, consideration would be given to the financial benefit the perpetrator had by undertaking the offence. This would include, but may not be limited to:

1) The rents received for the 3rd and 4th resident (as this relates to the offence) over a maximum 12 month period

Assuming that each of the tenants paid £80 per week for their accommodation, which was occupied for 52 weeks of the past year. The financial benefit would then be assessed as £8,320.

In this instance, the officer would see that the indicative penalty charge of £7,500 is not sufficient to remove the financial benefit of committing the offence and would therefore look to increase the penalty to £8,320. In this instance the officer will justify the charge by detailing reasons in an Enforcement Action Proposal for authorising.

In some instances the penalty may be varied further in accordance with this policy and can be issued for up to a maximum amount of £30.000.

A Civil Penalty notice, or notice of intent, will only be served once reviewed and authorised by a Technical Lead (Housing Improvement), Community Connections

In addition to the above, Plymouth City Council could give consideration to further enforcement action available through the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 13 of 15

Scenario 5 - Failure to comply with Management Regulations in HMOs

This person has a non-licensable HMO. They were written to following an initial visit where a number of Management Regulation breaches were identified. The offender was given an informal period of time to resolve the breaches but only partial works have been undertaken. There is no history of non-compliance.

Scoring - Scenario 5

Factor	<u>Description</u>	<u>Item</u> Total	Running Total
Severity	Breaches of HMO Management Regulations identified in common parts and unit of accommodation	7 points	7 points
Multiple Households (Improvement Notices only)	N/A – no improvement notice served	Nil	7 Points
Culpability	Negligent – PCC advised of HMO regulation breaches and requirements to undertake works.		17 Points
Licensable HMO	N/A the property is 2-storeys and N occupied by 4 persons only.		17 Points
Track Record	I st Offence	5 Points	22 Points
Harm & Vulnerability	No actual harm has been caused, however there is the potential for harm in relation to breaches of the management regulations.	2 Points	24 Points
Scenario 5 - Total Points Accumulation			24 Points
Scenario 5 - (for fur	£3,000		

Following stage I calculations, consideration would be given to the financial benefit the perpetrator had by undertaking the offence. This would include, but may not be limited to:

1) The costs of works outstanding, £700 (Banisters/balustrades - £500, Stair repairs £200).

Therefore the financial benefit would then be assessed as £700.

In this instance, the officer would see that the indicative penalty charge of £3,000 is sufficient to remove the financial benefit of committing the offence and would therefore retain the penalty as £3,000. In this instance the officer will justify the charge by detailing reasons in an Enforcement Action Proposal for authorising.

In some instances the penalty may be varied further in accordance with this policy and can be issued for up to a maximum amount of £30,000.

A Civil Penalty notice, or notice of intent, will only be served once reviewed and authorised by a Technical Lead (Housing Improvement), Community Connections

In addition to the above, Plymouth City Council could give consideration to further enforcement action available through the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 14 of 15

Appendix IA - Occupancy Severity Table

(Occupancy % & Points Scored)

(Occupancy % & Points Scored)					
Intended 4 persons	Intended 5 persons	<u>Intended</u> <u>8 persons</u>	<u>Intended</u> <u>10 persons</u>		
5 = 125%	6 = 120%	9 = 112.5%	11 = 110%		
6 = 150%	7 = 140%	10 = 125%	12 = 120%		
7 = 175%	8 = 160%	11 = 137.5%	13 = 130%		
8 = 200%	9 = 180%	12 = 150%	14 = 140%		
	10 = 200%	13 = 162.5%	15 = 150%		
		14 = 175%	16 = 160%		
		15 = 187.5%	17 = 170%		
		16 = 200%	18 = 180%		
			19 = 190%		
			20 = 200%		
	2 points will be applied	5 points will be applied	10 points will be applied		

CIVIL PENALTY POLICY Page 15 of 15